319(h) Nonpoint Source Grants Program

Concept Proposal Applicant Assistance Workshop



Applicant Workshop Objectives

 Review applicant eligibility, project eligibility and 319(h) NPS program priorities

 Review timeline for grant application, review and award

Question and Answer period

319(h) NPS Grant Program Process

 Based on existing 2005-06 Consolidated Grants (CG) Guidelines

 Eligibility and Program Priorities have been excerpted from CG Guidelines and summarized in Attachment 1 of the Solicitation Notice

Program Requirements

- Approximately \$5.65 million will be made available for this Solicitation
- Minimum Grant Amt = \$250,000
- Maximum Grant Amt = \$1,000,000
- Minimum 25% match of total project cost (may be waived for DAC)
- Must meet 319(h) NPS Program Preferences and Priorities

Applicant Eligibility

- Local public agencies
- Public agencies
- Public colleges
- 501 (c)(3) nonprofit organizations
- Federally recognized Indian tribes
- Federal and state agencies

Project Eligibility: Proposal Content

- Must implement activities that contribute to reduced pollutant loads as called for in a TMDL
- Must implement activities that are part of watershed plans that address the US EPA nine required watershed-based plan elements
- Must ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of all management practices

Required Elements for Watershed-Based Plans per CWA Section 319

- Element 1: Causes and Sources

 Clearly define the causes and sources of impairment (physical, chemical, and biological).
- Element 2: Expected Load Reductions

 An estimate of the load reductions expected for each of the management measures or best management practices (BMPs) to be implemented (recognizing the natural variability and the difficulty in precisely predicting the performance of management measures over time).

Nine Elements (continued)

- Element 3: Management Measures
 - A description of the management measures or management practices and associated costs that will need to be implemented to achieve the load reductions estimated in this plan and an identification (using a map or a description) of the critical areas where those measures are needed.
- Element 4: Technical and Financial Assistance
 An estimate of the amounts of technical and financial assistance needed, associated costs, and/or the sources and authorities that will be relied upon, to implement this plan.

Nine Elements (continued)

- An information/education component that will be used to enhance public understanding of the project and encourage their early and continued participation in selecting, designing, and implementing management measures.
- Element 6: Schedule

 A schedule for implementing management measures identified in this plan that is reasonably expeditious.
- Element 7: Measurable Milestones

 A schedule of interim, measurable milestones for determining whether the management measures, BMPs, or other control actions are being implemented.

Nine Elements (continued)

Element 8: Evaluation of Progress

A set of criteria that can be used to determine whether loading reductions are being achieved over time and substantial progress is being made towards attaining water quality standards and, if not, the criteria for determining whether the plan needs to be revised or, if a TMDL has been established, whether the TMDL needs to be revised.

Element 9: Monitoring

A monitoring component to evaluate the effectiveness of the implementation efforts over time, measured against the criteria established in the Evaluation of Progress element.

Project Timing:

Grant Agreement Finalized by Dec 31, 2008

Final Project Report

June 1, 2012

Project End Date

June 30, 2012

Final Invoicing

Oct 1, 2012

Program Preferences & Priorities

- Well planned and designed implementation based on data contained in TMDLs and watershed plans
- Significant pollutant load reductions that ultimately result in delisting of an impaired 303(d) water body
- Achievement of water quality objectives and beneficial uses within a specified time period,
- Nonpoint source related priorities contained in Appendix G of the 2005-06 Consolidated Grants Guidelines.

Funding Match Requirements

- Must be non-State funded match.
- State Agencies can use State funds for match.
- May be waived / reduced if Disadvantaged Community criteria met
- Eligible reimbursable expenses incurred after September 14,
 2007 and prior to project completion date
- Education and outreach may qualify as <u>part</u> of funding match

Funding Match Calculation Example

Total Project Cost	Grant and Fund Matc	Grant and Fund Match Using the Minimum Funding Match Requirement (25% of total)	
	Funding Match	Grant Funds	
\$1 Million	0.25 x \$1 M = \$250,000	\$1 M - \$250,000 = \$750,000	

^{*}See Page 18 of Attachment 1 of the Solicitation Notice for Reduced Funding Match Calculation

Reimbursable Expenses

Only direct costs related to projects are eligible for reimbursement

Timeframe: Only work performed within terms of grant agreement

Grant Application Review and Selection Timeline

Concept Proposal Apps Due

Nov. 1, 2007

Invitation for Full Proposals

Dec 15, 2007

Full Proposal Apps Due

Jan. 31, 2008

State Water Board approval of grants Apr 2008

How to Submit Your Concept Proposal

 Submitted via Financial Assistance Application Submittal Tool (FAAST)

https://faast.waterboards.ca.gov

- Due by 5:00 PM on Thursday, Nov. 1, 2007
- Optional two-page attachment¹
- 1 To further answer any of the questions contained in the concept proposal application.

For More Information

- PROGRAM QUESTIONS
 - Solicitation Notice, Attachments and FAQ are posted http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/funding/319h.html
 - Contact your State Board, Regional Board, or U.S.
 EPA representatives listed in Attachment 2
- > FAAST ONLINE APPLICATION
 - Email FAAST ADMIN@waterboards.ca.gov or
 - Call 1-866-434-1083

Question and Answers

Audience Questions

Questions submitted remotely via Email